arXiv:1704.05130v2 [math.DS] 26 Apr 2017

ROTATION NUMBER OF INTERVAL CONTRACTED ROTATIONS

Michel Laurent and Arnaldo Nogueira

Abstract. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. We consider the one-parameter family of circle λ-affine contractions $f_\delta : x \in [0,1) \mapsto \lambda x + \delta \bmod 1$, where $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Let ρ be the rotation number of the map f_δ. We will give some numerical relations between the values of λ, δ and ρ, essentially using Hecke-Mahler series and a tree structure. When both parameters λ and δ are algebraic numbers, we show that ρ is a rational number. Moreover, in the case λ and δ are rational, we give an explicit upper bound for the height of ρ under an assumption on λ.

1. Introduction

Let $I = [0,1)$ be the unit interval.

Definition 1. *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\delta \in I$. We call the map defined by*

$$f = f_{\lambda,\delta} : x \in I \mapsto \{\lambda x + \delta\}, \tag{1}$$

where the symbol $\{.\}$ stands for the fractional part, a contracted rotation of I.

In particular, if $\lambda + \delta > 1$, f is a *2-interval piecewise contraction* on the interval I.



Figure 1. A plot of $f_{\lambda,\delta} : I \to I$, where $\lambda + \delta > 1$

The aim of this note is to investigate the dynamics of contracted rotations, and their relation to Number Theory. Many authors have studied the dynamics of contracted

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11J91, 37E05.

rotations, as a dynamical system or in applications, amongst others [3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 16]. However, as far as we are aware, it is in papers by E. J. Ding and P. C. Hemmer [8] and Y. Bugeaud [5] that appears the first complete description of the relations between the parameters λ, δ and the rotation number ρ of $f_{\lambda,\delta}$, see Theorem 1 below. We shall revisit these relations from an arithmetical point of view.

It is known that every contracted rotation map f has a rotation number ρ satisfying $0 \leq \rho < 1$. If ρ takes an irrational value, then the limit set $\cap_{k\geq 1} f^k(I)$ of f is a Cantor set C and f is topologically conjugated to the rotation map $x \in I \mapsto x + \rho \mod 1$ on C. When the rotation number is rational, the map f has at most one periodic orbit (see [10] or the Appendix 2, for a simple proof) and the limit set of f equals the periodic orbit if it does exist.

In order to compute the rotation number of f, it is convenient to introduce a function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ called the *suspension or lift of f on* $\mathbb{R}$. Our lift F will be defined by

$$F = F_{\lambda,\delta} : x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \lambda\{x\} + \delta + [x], \tag{2}$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of the real number x. Its name comes from the fact that F satisfies the following properties:
(i) Let $\pi : x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \{x\}$ be the canonical projection of $\mathbb{R}$ on I, then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\pi(F(x)) = f(\pi(x)).$$

(ii) $F(x+1) = F(x) + 1$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) F is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and right continuous on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. It is known (see [18, 4]) that the limit

$$\rho_{\lambda,\delta} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{F^k_{\lambda,\delta}(x_0)}{k} \tag{3}$$

exists and does not depend on the initial point x_0. The number $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ is the *rotation number* of the map $f = f_{\lambda,\delta}$ and $0 \leq \rho_{\lambda,\delta} < 1$.

The goal of this article is to study the value of the rotation number $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ according to the diophantine nature of the parameters λ and δ. For instance, we show in Theorem 3 that $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ is necessarily a rational number when λ and δ are algebraic.

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ be fixed. We are interested in the rotation number of the one-dimensional family of contracted rotations $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ as δ runs through the interval $[0, 1)$. Explicit formulae for the value of $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ as a function of δ can be found in [9, 5, 6, 7]. Next we present their formulae. In particular, for any irrational number ρ, there exists a unique value of δ such that $\rho = \rho_{\lambda,\delta}$. It turns out that this value of δ is given by a series which is suitable for our purposes.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.*
(i) Assume $\lambda + \delta \leq 1$, then $\rho_{\lambda,\delta} = 0$.
(ii) Let $\rho = \dfrac{p}{q}$ be a positive rational number, where $0 < p < q$ are relatively prime integers. Then $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ takes the value ρ if and only if δ is located in the interval

$$\frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda^q} c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) \leq \delta \leq \frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda^q}\left(c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q\right),$$

where

$$c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{q-1} \left(\left[k\frac{p}{q}\right] - \left[(k-1)\frac{p}{q}\right]\right)\lambda^{k-1}$$

and the above sum equals 0 *when* $q = 2$.
(iii) Assume $\rho = \rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ *is an irrational number, then*

$$\delta = \delta(\lambda, \rho) = (1 - \lambda) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \left([k\rho] - [(k-1)\rho] \right) \lambda^{k-1} \right). \tag{4}$$

We show in Section 3 how the formula (4) is obtained and describe the dynamics of $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ in this case. Concerning formula (4), note that there is a misprint in the statement of [5, Theorem 2]. For completeness, we give a simple proof that

Theorem 2. *Let* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *be given. Then*

$$\{\delta \in I : \rho_{\lambda,\delta} \text{ is an irrational number}\}$$

has zero Hausdorff dimension.

Now we state our main results. Applying a transcendence result due to Loxton and Van der Poorten [13], which is stated as Theorem 5 below, we obtain the

Theorem 3. *Let* $0 < \lambda, \delta < 1$ *be algebraic real numbers. Then, the rotation number* $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ *is a rational number.*

In order to prove Theorem 3, we use the fact that the value of $\delta = \delta(\lambda, \rho)$ in (4) may be expressed in term of the so called Hecke-Mahler type series

$$S_\rho(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 1} [k\rho] \lambda^k. \tag{5}$$

For fixed real number λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$, the real function $\alpha \mapsto S_\alpha(\lambda)$ is increasing and continuous at every irrational number α, while it has a positive jump at any rational α. This follows for instance from [11] and the useful identity

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \Big([(k+1)\alpha] - [k\alpha] \Big) z^k = \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) S_\alpha(z), \tag{6}$$

relating the Hecke-Mahler series with the power series associated to Sturmian sequences.

In view of Theorem 3, a natural problem that arises is to estimate the height of the rational rotation number $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ in terms of the algebraic numbers λ and δ. We provide a partial solution for this issue when λ and δ are rational. Note that Theorem 4 includes the case where λ is the reciprocal of an integer.

Theorem 4. *Let* $\lambda = a/b$ *and* $\delta = r/s$ *be rational numbers with* $0 < \lambda, \delta < 1$. *Assume that* $b > a^\gamma$, *where* $\gamma = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ *denotes the golden ratio. Then, the rotation number* $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ *is a rational number* p/q *where*

$$0 \leq p < q \leq \gamma^{2 + \frac{\gamma \log(sb)}{\log b - \gamma \log a}}.$$

Notice that the combination of Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 yields a new proof of the irrationality of the Hecke-Mahler series $S_\rho(\lambda)$ for any irrational number ρ, assuming that $\lambda = a/b$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. It should be interesting to extend the validity of Theorem 4 to a larger class of ratios a/b. We propose the following

Conjecture. *Let* γ *be any real number greater than 1. Theorem 4 holds true assuming that* $b > a^\gamma$, *with a possible larger upper bound for* q *depending only on* γ, a, b *and* s.

The paper is organized as follows. The proof of Theorem 3 and other claims about the numerical properties of λ, δ and $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ are given in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the irrational rotation number case. In this case the limit set is a Cantor set C, and there we define the map which conjugates the contracted rotation and the corresponding rotation map on C. In particular, using this approach, we explain how to obtain the value of δ as a function of λ and ρ (Theorem 1(iii)). The proof of Theorem 4 is given in Section 4. In Section 5 we provide a proof for Theorem 2 employing the tree structure developed in Section 4. For completeness, we present in Appendix 1 a simple proof that in the case the rotation number is rational, there exists at most one periodic orbit. Finally in Appendix 2, some useful properties of Liouville numbers are given.

2. Transcendental numbers

Let us begin with the following result on transcendence due to Loxton and Van der Poorten [13, Theorem 7].

Theorem 5. *Let $p(x)$ be a non-constant polynomial with algebraic coefficients and ρ an irrational real number, then the power series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p([k\rho])\lambda^k$$

takes a transcendental value for any algebraic number λ with $0 < |\lambda| < 1$.

We recall that K. Mahler [15] had previously proved that, if ρ is a real quadratic irrational and λ is a real algebraic number with $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, then the sum $S_\rho(\lambda)$ takes a transcendental value which is not Liouville. In connection with Theorem 5, we can also mention an early result due to P.E. Böhmer [2] who proved the transcendency of the series $\sum_{k\geq 0}\Big([(k+1)\rho]-[k\rho]\Big)2^{-k}$ when ρ has unbounded partial quotients.

We only need Theorem 5 for a polynomial $p(x)$ of degree one, in which case the statement is equivalent to the transcendency of the Hecke-Mahler series $S_\rho(\lambda)$. A proof can also be found in Sections 2.9 and 2.10 of the monograph [17]. See also the survey article [14].

Now we prove Theorem 3 using (4) and Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and assume the rotation number $\rho = \rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ takes an irrational value. For convenience, we rewrite the value of δ defined by (4) in the form

$$\delta = \delta(\lambda,\rho) = (1-\lambda)\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left([k\rho]-[(k-1)\rho]\right)\lambda^{k-1}\right),$$

noting that the term indexed by $k = 1$ vanishes, since $0 < \rho < 1$. The expression inside the parentheses may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}1 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left([k\rho]-[(k-1)\rho]\right)\lambda^{k-1} &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[k\rho]\lambda^{k-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[k\rho]\lambda^k \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}-1\right)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[k\rho]\lambda^k,\end{aligned}$$

by using the identity (6), since $[\rho] = 0$. Therefore,

$$\delta = 1 - \lambda + \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k\rho]\lambda^k. \tag{7}$$

We have thus obtained that

$$\delta = \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ([k\rho]+1)\lambda^k,$$

since $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$. If we assume that λ is an algebraic number, then $\dfrac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda}$ is also an algebraic number. Therefore, the polynomial

$$p(z) = \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda} z + \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda}$$

has algebraic coefficients, and

$$\delta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p([k\rho])\lambda^k.$$

Finally, by Theorem 5, the number δ defined in (4) takes a transcendental value. □

Next we prove a result on the diophantine nature of the Hecke-Mahler series $S_\rho(\lambda)$ when λ is the inverse of an integer.

Theorem 6. *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer and $0 < \rho < 1$ an irrational. Then the number*

$$S_\rho\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k\rho] \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k$$

is a Liouville number if, and only if, the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of the irrational number ρ are unbounded.

Proof. P.E. Böhmer has proved in [2] that $S_\rho\left(\dfrac{1}{b}\right)$ is a Liouville number when the partial quotients of ρ are unbounded. This also easily follows from the proof of the transcendency of $S_\rho\left(\dfrac{1}{b}\right)$ using Mahler's theory which provides as well explicit formulae for the sharp rational approximants. See the concluding remarks in the proof of Theorem 2.10.3 in [17, page 77].

For the converse, observe that by (6), we have the equalities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(k+1)\rho] - [k\rho]}{b^k} = (b-1) S_\rho\left(\frac{1}{b}\right),$$

since $[\rho] = 0$, thus it is equivalent to show that the sum $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(k+1)\rho] - [k\rho]}{b^k}$ is not a Liouville number, when the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of the irrational number ρ are bounded. Notice that the sequence $([(k+1)\rho] - [k\rho])_{k\geq 1}$ is Sturmian with values 0 and 1 and slope ρ. Now, it follows from [1, Proposition 11.1] that the associated real number $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(k+1)\rho] - [k\rho]}{b^k}$ in base b is transcendental and that it

has a finite irrationality exponent exactly when the slope ρ has bounded partial quotients. □

Corollary 7. *Let $\lambda = \dfrac{1}{b}$, where $b \geq 2$ is an integer, and let ρ be an irrational number with $0 < \rho < 1$. Then the number $\delta\left(\dfrac{1}{b}, \rho\right)$ defined by (4) is a Liouville number if and only if the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of ρ are unbounded.*

Proof. Using (7), we have

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{b}, \rho\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{b} + b\left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k\rho] \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k = \frac{b-1}{b} + \frac{(b-1)^2}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k\rho] \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k.$$

According to Theorem 6, $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k\rho] \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k$ is a Liouville number when ρ has unbounded partial quotients. As the coefficients $\dfrac{b-1}{b}$ and $\dfrac{(b-1)^2}{b}$ are non zero rational numbers, by Lemma 11 below, $\delta\left(\dfrac{1}{b}, \rho\right)$ is also a Liouville number. □

3. The irrational rotation number case

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ be fixed. It is known that the graph of the mapping $\delta \mapsto \rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ is a *Devil's staircase*, meaning that this map is a continuous non-decreasing function sending $[0, 1)$ onto $[0, 1)$ which takes the rational value p/q on the whole interval

$$\frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda^q} c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) \leq \delta \leq \frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda^q} \left(c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q\right),$$

where $c\left(\lambda, \dfrac{p}{q}\right)$ is defined in Theorem 1 (ii). Notice that if we select a sequence of reduced rationals p/q tending to an irrational number ρ, so that q tends to infinity, then the above intervals shrink to the point

$$(1-\lambda)\left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \left([k\rho] - [(k-1)\rho]\right) \lambda^{k-1}\right) = 1 - \lambda + \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k\rho]\lambda^k,$$

by (6) and (7), since the integer part function $x \mapsto [x]$ is continuous at non-integer x. Therefore, for each irrational number ρ with $0 < \rho < 1$, there is exactly one value of δ for which $\rho = \rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ and this value is defined by the series (4).

Fix now an irrational number ρ with $0 < \rho < 1$ and let δ be defined by the series (4) so that $\rho = \rho_{\lambda,\delta}$. Following the approach given in [7], define a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^k (\delta + (1-\lambda)[t - (k+1)\rho]).$$

It has been established in [7] that the function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function which has the following properties:

$(C1)$ $\varphi(t + \rho) = F_{\lambda,\delta}(\varphi(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$(C2)$ $\varphi(t + 1) = \varphi(t) + 1$, and

$(C3)$ $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Reducing modulo 1, this establishes that $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ and the circle rotation map $R_\rho : x \in I \mapsto x + \rho \mod 1$ are topologically conjugated on the Cantor set $C \subset \varphi(I) \subset I$.

Notice that $(C2)$ and $(C3)$ imply that $[\varphi(t)] = [t]$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, from which $(C1)$ easily follows. The property $(C3)$ also determines the value in (4) for δ since

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(0) &= \sum_{k\geq 0} \lambda^k(\delta + (1-\lambda)[-(k+1)\rho]) = \frac{\delta}{1-\lambda} + (1-\lambda)\sum_{k\geq 0}\lambda^k[-(k+1)\rho] \\ &= \frac{\delta}{1-\lambda} - (1-\lambda)\sum_{k\geq 0}\lambda^k(1 + [(k+1)\rho]) = \frac{\delta}{1-\lambda} - 1 - \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\sum_{k\geq 1}\lambda^k[k\rho].\end{aligned}$$

We now link the preceding facts to the dynamics of $f_{\lambda,\delta}$, or equivalently to the iterates of the real function $F_{\lambda,\delta}$ by reducing modulo 1. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Using (2) we define the orbit of x_0 under $F_{\lambda,\delta}$ iteratively by

$$x_{k+1} = F_{\lambda,\delta}(x_k) = \lambda x_k + \delta + (1-\lambda)m_k, \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0,$$

where $m_k = [x_k]$. We then easily obtain by induction on n the formula

$$x_n = \lambda^n x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\lambda^k(\delta + (1-\lambda)m_{n-k-1}), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

It is proved in [7] that for each initial point x_0, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$m_k = [x_k] = [t_0 + \rho k] \quad \text{for every} \quad k \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$x_n = \lambda^n x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\lambda^k(\delta + (1-\lambda)[t_0 + n\rho - (k+1)\rho]) = \varphi(t_0 + n\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^n),$$

as n tends to infinity. Hence the $f_{\lambda,\delta}$-orbit of x_0 modulo 1 converges exponentially fast to the orbit of $\varphi(t_0)$ modulo 1 on C.

4. Rational parameters

We prove Theorem 4 in this Section. To that purpose, we make use of results due to Y. Bugeaud and J.-P. Conze [6], who have shown that the numbers $\dfrac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda^q}c\left(\lambda, \dfrac{p}{q}\right)$ occurring in Theorem 1 (ii) share a combinatorial structure parallel to the classical Stern-Brocot tree of rational numbers (called the Farey tree in [6]).

4.1. **On the Stern-Brocot tree.**

The Stern-Brocot tree, restricted to the interval $[0, 1]$, may be described as an infinite sequence of finite rows, indexed by $k \geq 1$, consisting of

ordered rational numbers $\frac{p}{q} \in [0,1]$ and starting with

$$\begin{array}{rl} k=1: & \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1} \\ k=2: & \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1} \\ k=3: & \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{1} \\ \ldots: & \ldots\ldots \end{array} \tag{8}$$

The $(k+1)$-th row is constructed from the k-th row by inserting between any pair of consecutive elements $\frac{p}{q} < \frac{p'}{q'}$ on the k-th row, their mediant $\frac{p+p'}{q+q'}$. Moreover, every rational number $0 \leq \frac{p}{q} \leq 1$ appears in (8).

Let λ be a real number with $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. We consider the analogous tree

$$\begin{array}{rl} k=1: & \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1} \\ k=2: & \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \frac{1}{1} \\ k=3: & \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda+\lambda^2}, \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \frac{1+\lambda}{1+\lambda+\lambda^2}, \frac{1}{1} \\ \ldots: & \ldots\ldots \end{array} \tag{9}$$

Any element $\frac{P}{Q}$ of the tree is a rational function in λ, where the numerator $P = P(\lambda)$ and the denominator $Q = Q(\lambda)$ are polynomials in λ. The tree is constructed by a similar process with the new mediant rule

$$\frac{P' + \lambda^{q'} P}{Q' + \lambda^{q'} Q}$$

between consecutive elements $\frac{P}{Q} < \frac{P'}{Q'}$ where $q' = Q'(1)$. In the case $\lambda = 1$, we recover the Stern-Brocot tree (8). Notice that for every $\frac{P}{Q}$ appearing in (9), the rational number $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{P(1)}{Q(1)}$ appears at the same places in (8). It is proved in [6] that the rational fractions $\frac{P}{Q}$ appearing in (9) are precisely those which are involved in Theorem 1 (ii); namely that we have the explicit formula:

$$\frac{P}{Q} = \frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda^q} c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right)}{1+\lambda+\cdots+\lambda^{q-1}},$$

where $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{P(1)}{Q(1)}$ and $c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right)$ is defined in Theorem 1 (ii). We further define $c\left(\lambda, \frac{0}{1}\right) = 0$ and $c\left(\lambda, \frac{1}{1}\right) = 1$ in order to extend the validity of the formula to the endpoints 0 and 1.

Moreover, if $\dfrac{P}{Q} < \dfrac{P'}{Q'}$ are adjacent elements on some row of (9), then

$$\frac{P'}{Q'} - \frac{P}{Q} = \frac{\lambda^{q-1}}{QQ'} > \frac{\lambda^{q-1}(1-\lambda)}{Q}, \tag{10}$$

where $q = Q(1)$. It follows from (10) that

$$\frac{P}{Q} \le \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} < \frac{P'}{Q'}.$$

The assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 mean that the rotation number $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ is equal to p/q exactly when δ is located in the interval

$$\left[\frac{P}{Q}, \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q}\right] \subset \left[\frac{P}{Q}, \frac{P'}{Q'}\right].$$

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.** From now on, put $\lambda = a/b$ and $\delta = r/s$ as in Theorem 4.

Lemma 8. *Let $0 \le \dfrac{p}{q} < \dfrac{p'}{q'} \le 1$ be consecutive rational numbers on some row of the Stern-Brocot tree (8). Put*

$$P = c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right), \quad Q = 1 + \cdots + \lambda^{q-1}, \quad P' = c\left(\lambda, \frac{p'}{q'}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad Q' = 1 + \cdots + \lambda^{q'-1}.$$

If we assume that

$$\frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} < \delta < \frac{P'}{Q'}, \tag{11}$$

then we have the inequality

$$b^{\max(q,q')} \le sba^{q+q'}.$$

Proof. It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\left(\frac{P'}{Q'} - \delta, \delta - \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q}\right) &< \frac{P'}{Q'} - \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} = \frac{\lambda^{q-1}}{QQ'} - \frac{\lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{q-1}(1-\lambda)}{Q}\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda^{q'}} - 1\right) = \frac{\lambda^{q+q'-1}}{QQ'} = \frac{a^{q+q'-1}}{b^{q+q'-1}QQ'}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

since $Q' = 1 + \cdots + \lambda^{q'-1} = \dfrac{1-\lambda^{q'}}{1-\lambda}$. On the other hand, we have the lower bound

$$\frac{P'}{Q'} - \delta = \frac{sP' - rQ'}{sQ'} = \frac{b^{q'-1}(sP' - rQ')}{s\left(\sum_{j=0}^{q'-1} a^j b^{q'-1-j}\right)} \ge \frac{1}{s\left(\sum_{j=0}^{q'-1} a^j b^{q'-1-j}\right)} = \frac{1}{b^{q'-1}sQ'}, \tag{13}$$

observing that the numerator

$$b^{q'-1}(sP' - rQ') = s\left(b^{q'-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{q'-2}([(j+1)p'/q'] - [jp'/q'])a^j b^{q'-1-j}\right) - r\left(\sum_{j=0}^{q'-1} a^j b^{q'-1-j}\right)$$

is an integer, which is necessarily ≥ 1 since it is the numerator of a positive rational number. In a similar way, we find that

$$\delta - \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} \ge \frac{1}{b^q sQ}. \tag{14}$$

Combining the upper bound (12) with the lower bounds (13) and (14), we obtain the estimates

$$b^q \le \frac{sa^{q+q'-1}}{Q} \le sa^{q+q'-1} \quad \text{and} \quad b^{q'-1} \le \frac{sa^{q+q'-1}}{Q'} \le sa^{q+q'-1},$$

which yield the slightly weaker inequality $b^{\max(q,q')} \le sba^{q+q'}$, since $b > a \ge 1$. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Set

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{\gamma \log(sb)}{\log b - \gamma \log a} \right\rceil \ge 1. \tag{15}$$

We claim that there exists a rational $0 \le \frac{p}{q} < 1$ appearing on the $(k+1)$-th row of (8) such that

$$\frac{c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right)}{1 + \cdots + \lambda^{q-1}} \le \delta \le \frac{c\left(\lambda, \frac{p}{q}\right) + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{1 + \cdots + \lambda^{q-1}}. \tag{16}$$

Then, the assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 yield that $\rho_{\lambda,\delta} = p/q$.

In order to prove the claim, we first focus to the k-th row of (9). There exist adjacent elements $\dfrac{P}{Q} < \dfrac{P'}{Q'}$ on the k-th row of (9) such that δ is located in the interval

$$\frac{P}{Q} \le \delta < \frac{P'}{Q'}.$$

Put $\dfrac{p}{q} = \dfrac{P(1)}{Q(1)}$ and $\dfrac{p'}{q'} = \dfrac{P'(1)}{Q'(1)}$. If δ falls in the subinterval

$$\frac{P}{Q} \le \delta \le \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q},$$

then (16) is established. We may therefore assume that

$$\frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} < \delta < \frac{P'}{Q'}.$$

Then, Lemma 8 gives the estimate

$$b^{\max(q,q')} \le sba^{q+q'}. \tag{17}$$

We now consider the mediant $\dfrac{P''}{Q''} = \dfrac{P' + \lambda^{q'}P}{Q' + \lambda^{q'}Q}$ of $\dfrac{P}{Q}$ and $\dfrac{P'}{Q'}$ which is an element of the $(k+1)$-th row of (9). Put $p'' = P''(1) = p + p'$ and $q'' = Q''(1) = q + q'$. Since $\dfrac{p}{q} < \dfrac{p''}{q''}$ and $\dfrac{p''}{q''} < \dfrac{p'}{q'}$ are two pairs of consecutive rational numbers on the $(k+1)$-th row of the Stern-Brocot tree (8), we have the inequalities

$$\frac{P}{Q} < \frac{P + \lambda^{q-1} - \lambda^q}{Q} < \frac{P''}{Q''} < \frac{P'' + \lambda^{q''-1} - \lambda^{q''}}{Q''} < \frac{P'}{Q'}.$$

If δ belongs to the subinterval

$$\frac{P''}{Q''} \le \delta \le \frac{P'' + \lambda^{q''-1} - \lambda^{q''}}{Q''},$$

then (16) holds true with p/q replaced by p''/q''. It thus remains to deal with the intervals

$$\frac{P+\lambda^{q-1}-\lambda^q}{Q}<\delta<\frac{P''}{Q''} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P''+\lambda^{q''-1}-\lambda^{q''}}{Q''}<\delta<\frac{P'}{Q'}.$$

In both cases, we shall reach a contradiction, due to the fact that the level k has been selected large enough in (15).

Assume first that

$$\frac{P+\lambda^{q-1}-\lambda^q}{Q}<\delta<\frac{P''}{Q''}.$$

Then, Lemma 8 applied to the pair of adjacent rationals $\dfrac{p}{q}<\dfrac{p''}{q''}$, gives now the estimate

$$b^{q+q'}=b^{q''}=b^{\max(q,q'')}\le sba^{q+q''}=sba^{2q+q'}. \tag{18}$$

Raising the inequalities (17) and (18) respectively to the powers $1/(q+q')$ and $1/(2q+q')$, we immediately obtain the upper bound

$$b^{\max\left(\frac{q}{q+q'},\frac{q'}{q+q'},\frac{q+q'}{2q+q'}\right)}\le (sb)^{\frac{1}{q+q'}}a. \tag{19}$$

Put $\xi=\dfrac{q}{q'}$, we bound from below the exponent

$$\max\left(\frac{q}{q+q'},\frac{q'}{q+q'},\frac{q+q'}{2q+q'}\right)\ge\max\left(\frac{q}{q+q'},\frac{q+q'}{2q+q'}\right)=\max\left(\frac{\xi}{1+\xi},\frac{1+\xi}{1+2\xi}\right)\ge\frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

For the last inequality, it suffices to note that

$$\frac{\xi}{1+\xi}\ge\frac{1}{\gamma}\iff\xi\ge\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{1+\xi}{1+2\xi}\ge\frac{1}{\gamma}\iff\frac{\gamma-1}{2-\gamma}=\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\ge\xi.$$

Observe that for each pair of adjacent numbers $\dfrac{p}{q}<\dfrac{p'}{q'}$ on the k-th row of (8), we have the lower bound $q+q'\ge k+1$. We then deduce from (19) that

$$b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\le(sb)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}a,$$

or equivalently that $k+1\le\dfrac{\gamma\log(sb)}{\log b-\gamma\log a}$, in contradiction with our choice (15) for k.

The second interval

$$\frac{P''+\lambda^{q''-1}-\lambda^{q''}}{Q''}<\delta<\frac{P'}{Q'}$$

is treated in a similar way. In this case, the analogue of (18) writes now

$$b^{q+q'}=b^{q''}=b^{\max(q',q'')}\le sba^{q'+q''}=sba^{q+2q'}.$$

Permuting q and q', we are led to the same computations. The claim is proved.

It is well-known that each rational number on the $(k+1)$-th row of the Stern-Brocot tree (8) has a denominator q bounded by the Fibonacci number

$$F_{k+2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\gamma^{k+2}-(-1/\gamma)^{k+2}\right)\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\gamma^{2+\frac{\gamma\log(sb)}{\log b-\gamma\log a}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\le\gamma^{2+\frac{\gamma\log(sb)}{\log b-\gamma\log a}},$$

since $k\le\dfrac{\gamma\log(sb)}{\log b-\gamma\log a}$ by (15). Theorem 4 is proved. □

5. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. We prove that the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\delta \in I : \rho_{\lambda,\delta} \text{ is irrational}\}.$$

has null Hausdorff dimension, using properties of the tree (9) displayed in Section 4. It turns out that $\mathcal{E}$ has a natural structure of Cantor set induced by the tree (9).

For any $k \geq 1$, both trees (8) and (9) have $2^{k-1}+1$ elements on their k-th row. Let us number

$$0 = \frac{p_{0,k}}{q_{0,k}} < \frac{p_{1,k}}{q_{1,k}} < \cdots < \frac{p_{2^{k-1},k}}{q_{2^{k-1},k}} = 1,$$
$$0 = \frac{P_{0,k}}{Q_{0,k}} < \frac{P_{1,k}}{Q_{1,k}} < \cdots < \frac{P_{2^{k-1},k}}{Q_{2^{k-1},k}} = 1,$$

their respective elements by increasing order, and put

$$I_{j,k} = \left(\frac{P_{j,k} + \lambda^{q_{j,k}-1} - \lambda^{q_{j,k}}}{Q_{j,k}}, \frac{P_{j+1,k}}{Q_{j+1,k}} \right) \subset I, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1}-1, k \geq 1,$$
$$\mathcal{E}_k = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} I_{j,k} \subset I, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Each interval $I_{j,k}$ in $\mathcal{E}_k$ contains two intervals from $\mathcal{E}_{k+1}$:

$$I_{j,k} \cap \mathcal{E}_{k+1} = I_{j',k+1} \cup I_{j'+1,k+1},$$

where j' and $j'+1$ are the respective indices of $\frac{p_{j,k}}{q_{j,k}}$ and of the mediant $\frac{p_{j,k}+p_{j+1,k}}{q_{j,k}+q_{j+1,k}}$ on the $(k+1)$-row of the Stern-Brocot tree (8). Hence, for every $k \geq 1$, we have the inclusion

$$\mathcal{E}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{E}_k.$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigcap_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{E}_k = \bigcap_{k\geq 1} \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} I_{j,k}.$$

Lemma 9. *For any integer $k \geq 1$ and any real number $\sigma > 0$, we have the upper bounds*

$$\max_{0\leq j\leq 2^{k-1}-1} |I_{j,k}| \leq \lambda^k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} |I_{j,k}|^\sigma \leq \sum_{n\geq k} n\lambda^{\sigma n},$$

where the bars indicate here the length of an interval.

Proof. It follows from (10) and (12) that

$$|I_{j,k}| = \frac{\lambda^{q_{j,k}+q_{j+1,k}-1}}{Q_{j,k}Q_{j+1,k}} \leq \lambda^{q_{j,k}+q_{j+1,k}-1}, \quad k \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1}-1.$$

As $\frac{p_{j,k}}{q_{j,k}} < \frac{p_{j+1,k}}{q_{j+1,k}}$ are consecutive elements on the k-th row of the Stern-Brocot tree, the lower bound $q_{j,k} + q_{j+1,k} \geq k+1$ holds for $0 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1}-1$. The first upper bound of Lemma 9 immediately follows. For the second one, observe that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} |I_{j,k}|^\sigma \leq \sum_{n\geq k+1} \text{Card}\,\{j : q_{j,k} + q_{j+1,k} = n\}\, \lambda^{\sigma(n-1)} \leq \sum_{n\geq k+1} (n-1)\lambda^{\sigma(n-1)},$$

the last inequality coming from the fact that any pair of co-prime positive integers appears only once as denominators of consecutive elements in the Stern-Brocot tree. □

It follows in particular from Lemma 9 that $\mathcal{E}_k$ is a covering of $\mathcal{E}$ by intervals whose maximal diameter $\max_{0\le j\le 2^{k-1}-1}|I_{j,k}|$ tends to 0 as k tends to infinity.

Fix $0<\sigma\le 1$ and denote by $H^\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ the σ-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the set $\mathcal{E}$. Considering the sequences of coverings $(\mathcal{E}_k)_{k\ge1}$ of $\mathcal{E}$, we find the upper bound

$$H^\sigma(\mathcal{E})\le \limsup_{k\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1}|I_{j,k}|^\sigma.$$

Lemma 9 yields that $H^\sigma(\mathcal{E})=0$, since the series $\sum_{n\ge1} n\lambda^{\sigma n}$ converges. It follows that $\mathcal{E}$ has null Hausdorff dimension, since $H^\sigma(\mathcal{E})=0$ for every $\sigma>0$.

Appendix 1: Uniqueness of the periodic orbit

Let $0<\lambda<1$, $0\le\delta<1$ and let $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ be the contracted rotation (1) with rotation number $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$. The map $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ has no periodic orbit when $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ is irrational. According to [10], any contracted rotation $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ with rational rotation number $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}$ has a unique periodic orbit in an extended meaning, allowing multivalues at the discontinuity point $0\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then, for every $x\in I$, the limit set

$$\omega(x)=\cap_{\ell\ge0}\overline{\cup_{k\ge\ell}f^k_{\lambda,\delta}(\{x\})}$$

equals a periodic orbit. Write $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}=p/q$, where p and q are coprime integers. If x is a periodic point of $f_{\lambda,\delta}$, its periodic orbit is the set

$$O=O(x)=\{x,f(x),\dots,f^{q-1}(x)\}$$

which contains precisely q points. As a simple illustration, we have $\rho_{\lambda,\delta}=0$ and $q=1$ whenever $\lambda+\delta\le1$. If $\lambda+\delta<1$, the map $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ has a unique fixed point $x_0=\dfrac{\delta}{1-\lambda}$, while $\omega(x)=\{1\}$ for every $x\in I$ if $\lambda+\delta=1$.

Lemma 10. *Let $0<\lambda<1$ and $0\le\delta<1$. The contracted rotation $f_{\lambda,\delta}$ has at most one periodic orbit.*

Proof. Put $f=f_{\lambda,\delta}$ and denote by F the lift of f defined in (2). Recall the iteration formula

$$F^k(x)=\lambda^k x+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\lambda^j\Big(\delta+(1-\lambda)\left[F^{k-j-1}(x)\right]\Big),\quad\forall k\ge1, \tag{20}$$

obtained in Section 3.

Let O_1 and O_2 be two periodic orbits of f. They have the same cardinality q. For $i=1,2$, define x_i as the smallest element of O_i. We claim that $[F^\ell(x_1)]=[F^\ell(x_2)]$ for all $\ell\ge0$. Assume on the contrary that, for some $\ell\ge0$, we have $[F^\ell(x_2)]\ne[F^\ell(x_1)]$. Let k be the smallest such integer ℓ. Notice that we must have $k\ge1$, since $0\le x_1,x_2<1$. Then (20) yields the equality

$$\begin{aligned}F^k(x_2)-F^k(x_1)=&\lambda^k(x_2-x_1)+(1-\lambda)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\lambda^j\left(\left[F^{k-j-1}(x_2)\right]-\left[F^{k-j-1}(x_1)\right]\right)\\=&\lambda^k(x_2-x_1).\end{aligned}$$

Exchanging possibly x_1 with x_2, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$[F^k(x_2)] \geq [F^k(x_1)] + 1.$$

We thus have

$$F^k(x_1) < [F^k(x_1)] + 1 \leq [F^k(x_2)] \quad \text{and} \quad F^k(x_2) - F^k(x_1) = \lambda^k(x_2 - x_1),$$

so that

$$f^k(x_2) = \{F^k(x_2)\} = F^k(x_2) - [F^k(x_2)] < F^k(x_2) - F^k(x_1) = \lambda^k(x_2 - x_1) \leq x_2$$

which contradicts the choice of x_2. The claim is proved.

Using again (20), the claim shows that the equalities

$$F^n(x_2) - F^n(x_1) = \lambda^n(x_2 - x_1)$$

hold for all $n \geq 0$. Reducing modulo 1 and letting n tend to infinity, we deduce by q-periodicity that $f^\ell(x_2) = f^\ell(x_1)$ for all $\ell = 0, \ldots, q-1$. We have proved that $O_1 = O_2$.

□

Appendix 2: Liouville numbers

A real number ξ is a *Liouville number*, if for every integer number $k \geq 1$, there exist integers numbers p_k and q_k with $q_k \geq 2$ such that

$$0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \frac{1}{q_k^k}.$$

Liouville's inequality shows that ξ is a transcendental number. The sequence $(q_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is thus unbounded. For completeness, we include the proof of the following lemma:

Lemma 11. *Let ξ be Liouville and r and s be nonzero rational numbers, then $r\xi + s$ is a Liouville number.*

Proof. We write $r = \dfrac{a}{b}$ and $s = \dfrac{c}{d}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$. We claim that $\dfrac{a}{b}\xi + \dfrac{c}{d}$ is a Liouville number. Let $m \geq 2$ be arbitrarily fixed. There exists $k = k(m)$ such that $k > m$ and $q_k \geq |a| b^{m-1} d^m$. We have

$$\left| \frac{a}{b}\xi + \frac{c}{d} - \frac{adp_k + bcq_k}{bdq_k} \right| = \frac{|a|}{b} \left| \xi - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \frac{|a|}{b} \frac{1}{q_k^k} \leq \frac{|a|}{b} \frac{1}{|a| b^{m-1} d^m q_k^{k-1}} \leq \frac{1}{(bdq_k)^m}$$

which proves that $r\xi + s$ is a Liouville number and proves the lemma. □

References

[1] B. Adamcewski and Y. Bugeaud. *Nombres réels de complexité sous-linéaire : mesures d'irrationalité et de transcendance*, J. reine angew. Math. **568** (2011), 65-98.

[2] P.E. Böhmer. *Über die Transzendenz gewisser dyadischer Brüche*, Math. Ann. **96** (1927), 367-377.

[3] J. Brémont. *Dynamics of injective quasi-contractions*, Ergodic. Th. and Dyn. Syst. **26** (2006), 19-44.

[4] R. Brette. *Rotation numbers of discontinuous orientation-preserving circle maps*, Set-Valued Analysis **11** (2003), 359-371.

[5] Y. Bugeaud. *Dynamique de certaines applications contractantes, linéaires par morceaux, sur [0,1[*, C. R. Acad. Sci. de Paris **317** Série I (1993), 575-578.

[6] Y. Bugeaud and J.-P. Conze. *Calcul de la dynamique d'une classe de transformations linéaires contractantes mod 1 et arbre de Farey*, Acta Arithmetica **LXXXVIII.3** (1999), 201-218.

[7] R. Coutinho. *Dinâmica simbólica linear*, Ph. D. Thesis, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, February 1999.
[8] E. J. Ding and P. C. Hemmer. *Exact treatment of mode locking for a piecewise linear map*, Journal of Statistical Physics, **46** (1987), 99-110.
[9] O. Feely and L. O. Chua. *The effect of Integrator Leak in* $\Sigma - \Delta$ *Modulation*, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, **38** (1991), 1293-1305.
[10] J.-M. Gambaudo and C. Tresser. *On the dynamics of quasi-contractions*, Bol. Coc. Bras. Mat., **19** (1988), 61-114.
[11] D.Y. Kwon. *A two-dimensional singular function via Sturmian words in base* β, Journal of Number Theory **133** (2013), 3892-3994.
[12] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey. *Noise and statistical periodicity.* Physica **28D** (1987), 143-154.
[13] J.H. Loxton and A.J. van der Poorten. *Arithmetic properties of certain functions in several variables III*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **16** (1977), 15-47.
[14] J.H. Loxton and A.J. van der Poorten. *Transcendence and algebraic independence by a method of Mahler*, in *Transcendence Theory: Advances and applications*, ed. by A. Baker and D.W. Masser, Academic Press (1977), 211-226.
[15] K. Mahler. *Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Lösungen einer Klasse von Funktionalgleichungen*, Math. Ann. **101** (1929), 342-366.
[16] J. Nagumo and S. Sato. *On a response characteristic of a mathematical neuron model*, Kybernetik **10(3)** (1972), 155-164.
[17] K. Nishioka. Mahler Functions and Transcendence, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1631.
[18] F. Rhodes and C. Thompson. *Rotation numbers for monotone functions on the circle*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **34** (1986), 369-368.

Michel Laurent and Arnaldo Nogueira(*)
Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, Marseille, France
michel-julien.laurent@univ-amu.fr and arnaldo.nogueira@univ-amu.fr

(*) Partially supported by the programs MATHAMSUD projet No. 36465XD PHYSECO and MATHAMSUD projet No. 38889TM DCS: Dynamics of Cantor systems.